## Session 4: Solid State Devices Hetro junction devices

Outline	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
ΟΔ		
• C		
• D		
• E		
$\odot$ $F$		
• G		
$\odot$		
		2

	1. I	
Outline	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	

### Ref: Brennan and Brown



<b>Review Homojunction!</b>	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
-----------------------------	------------------------------	--

Homojunction: the junction is between two regions of the same material Heterojunction: the junction is between two different semiconductors





PN junctions (Qualitative)	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
p	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	n
<i>E<sub>Cp</sub></i>		E <sub>Cn</sub> Fn
$E_{Vp}$		E <sub>Vn</sub> 6

PN junctions (Qualitative)	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	

















# **PN junctions - Assumptions**1.12.3.4.5.

The Depletion Approximation : Obtaining closed-form solutions for the electrostatic variables



Note that (1)  $-x_p \le x \le x_n$ : p & n are negligible (::  $\mathcal{E}$  exist). (2)  $x \le -x_p$  or  $x \ge x_n$ :  $\rho = 0$ 





5. For  $\mathcal{E}(x)$  to be continuous at x = 0,  $N_A x_p = N_D x_n \rightarrow \text{solve for } x_p, x_n$ 



#### **Built-In Potential** V<sub>bi</sub>

I	

$$qV_{bi} = q\varphi_{Sp} + q\varphi_{Sn}$$
$$= (E_i - E_F)_p + (E_F - E_i)_n$$



1. 2.

3. 4. 5.

For non-degenerately doped material:

$$(E_i - E_F)_p = kT \ln\left(\frac{p}{n_i}\right) = kT \ln\left(\frac{N_A}{n_i}\right) \\ (E_F - E_i)_n = kT \ln\left(\frac{n}{n_i}\right) = kT \ln\left(\frac{N_D}{n_i}\right) \end{cases} \rightarrow V_{bi} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln\left(\frac{N_A N_D}{n_i^2}\right)$$

What shall we do for  $p^+ - n$  (or  $n^+ - p$ ) junction?!?!?

$$p^+$$
:  
 $(E_i - E_F)_p = \frac{E_G}{2}$ 
 $n^+$ :  
 $(E_F - E_i)_n = \frac{E_G}{2}$ 





The electric field is continuous at x = 0

$$x_p N_A = x_n N_D$$

Charge neutrality condition as well!



Electrostatic Potential in the Depletion Layer	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
$-x_{p} \qquad \qquad$	$-\mathcal{E}$	
$\varepsilon \qquad x \qquad -x_p < x < 0:$ $V \qquad \qquad$	$C = \frac{qN_A}{2\epsilon} (x)$	$(x+x_p)^2$
$0 < x < x_n:$		

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = -\frac{qN_D}{\epsilon}(x_n - x)$$
$$V(x) = -\frac{qN_D}{2\epsilon}(x_n - x)^2 + C' = V_{bi} - \frac{qN_D}{2\epsilon}(x_n - x)^2$$



Depletion Layer Width	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
~ \\]		

$$-x_p < x < 0: \quad V(x) = \frac{qN_A}{2\epsilon} \left(x + x_p\right)^2$$
$$0 < x < x_n: \quad V(x) = V_{bi} - \frac{qN_D}{2\epsilon} (x_n - x)^2$$

$$V(0) = \frac{qN_A}{2\epsilon} x_p^2 = V_{bi} - \frac{qN_D}{2\epsilon} x_n^2 \\ x_pN_A = x_nN_D \end{cases} \xrightarrow{} \begin{cases} x_n = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{q}} \left(\frac{N_A}{N_D(N_A + N_D)}\right) \\ x_p = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{q}} \left(\frac{N_D}{N_A(N_A + N_D)}\right) \end{cases}$$

Summing, we have:

$$W = x_p + x_n = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{q} \left(\frac{1}{N_D} + \frac{1}{N_A}\right)}$$



Depletion Layer Width	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
-----------------------	------------------------------	--

If  $N_A \gg N_D$  as in a  $p^+ - n$  junction:

$$W = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{N_D} + \frac{1}{N_A}\right) \qquad \rightarrow W = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{qN_D}} \approx x_n$$
$$x_p N_A = x_n N_D \qquad \rightarrow \qquad x_p \ll x_n \qquad \rightarrow x_p \approx 0$$

Note:

$$V_{bi} = \frac{E_G}{2q} + \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{N_D}{n_i}$$



Example	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
	5.	

A  $p^+ - n$  junction has  $N_A = 10^{20} cm^{-3}$  and  $N_D = 10^{17} cm^{-3}$ . What is

a) its built in potential, 
$$V_{bi} = \frac{E_G}{2q} + \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{N_D}{n_i} \approx 1V$$

b) 
$$W$$
,  $W \approx \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s V_{bi}}{qN_D}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 12 \times 8.85 \times 10^{-14} \times 1}{1.6 \times 10^{19} \times 10^{17}}} = 0.12 \mu m$ 

c)  $x_n$  , and  $x_n pprox W = 0.12 \mu m$ 

d) 
$$x_p$$
  $x_p = x_n \frac{N_D}{N_A} = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \ \mu m = 1.2 \ \text{\AA} \sim 0$ 





Note:  $V_A$  should be significantly smaller than  $V_{bi}$  (Otherwise, we cannot assume low-level injection)



#### **Effect of Bias on Electrostatics**

I	



1) The Fermi level is omitted from the depletion region because the device is no longer in equilibrium: We need the quasi Fermi energy level.



Va Applied Voltage	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
--------------------	------------------------------	--

Now as we assumed all voltage drop is in the depletion region (Note that  $VA \leq Vbi$ )

$$x_n + x_p = W = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_s(V_{bi} - V_A)}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{N_D} + \frac{1}{N_A}\right)$$

 $x_p N_A = x_n N_D$ 



W vs. Va	1. I       2.       3.       4.       5.
----------	--

The junction width for one-sided step junctions in silicon as a function of junction voltage with the doping on the lightly doped side as a parameter.



Wvs. Na	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
---------	------------------------------	--

Junction width for a one-sided junction is plotted as a function of doping on the lightly doped side for three different operating voltages.



pn Junction:	1. I 2.	
I-V Characteristic (assumptions)	3. 4. 5.	

Assumption :

1) low-level injection:  $n_p \ll p_p {\sim} \overline{N_A}$  (or  $\Delta n \ll p_0$  ,  $p {\sim} p_0$  in p-type)

 $p_n \ll n_n {\sim} N_D$  (or  $\Delta p \ll n_0$  ,  $n {\sim} n_0$  in n-type)

2) In the bulk,  $n_n{\sim}n_{n0}=N_D$  ,  $p_p{\sim}p_{p0}=N_A$ 

3) For minority carriers  $J_{drift} \ll J_{diff}$  in quasi-neutral region

4) Nondegenerately doped step junction

5) Long-base diode in 1-D (both sides of quasi-neutral regions are much longer than their minority carrier diffusion lengths,  $L_n$  or  $L_p$ )

6) No Generation/Recombination in depletion region

7) Steady state d/dt = 0

8) 
$$G_{opt} = 0$$



pn Junction: I-V Characteristic	1. I 2. 3. 4.	
	5.	

#### Game plan:

i) continuity equations for minority carriers



ii) minority carrier current densities in the quasi-neutral region

$$J_{p} = J_{p_{drift}} + J_{p_{diff}} = qp\mu_{p} - qD_{p} \frac{dp}{dx} \sim - qD_{p} \frac{dp}{dx}$$
$$J_{n} = J_{n_{drift}} + J_{n_{diff}} = qn\mu + qD_{n} \frac{dn}{dx} \sim qD_{n} \frac{dn}{dx}$$









pn Junction: I-V Characteristic	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
$J = J_n(0'') + J_p(0') = \frac{qD_n}{L_n} \Delta n_p(-x_p) + \frac{qD_p}{L_p} \Delta p_n(x_n)$		
$n(-x_p) = n_{p0} e^{qV/kT}$ ; $\Delta n_p(-x_p) = n - n_{p0} = n_{p0}(e^{qV/kT} - 1)$	; $n_{p0} = 2$	$n_i^2/N_A$
$p(x_n) = p_{n0} e^{qV/kT}$ ; $\Delta p_n(x_n) = p - p_{n0} = p_{n0}(e^{qV/kT} - 1)$	; $p_{n0} =$	$n_i^2/N_D$
$J = q \left(\frac{D_n}{L_n} n_{p0} + \frac{D_p}{L_p} p_{n0}\right) \left(e^{qV/kT} - 1\right) \qquad I = AJ$		
$I = qA\left(\frac{D_n}{L_n}\frac{n_i^2}{N_A} + \frac{D_p}{L_p}\frac{n_i^2}{N_D}\right)(e^{qV/kT} - 1) = I_0(e^{qV/kT} - 1)$		
$I_0 = qAn_i^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{D_n}{\tau_n}}\frac{1}{N_A} + \right)$	$\sqrt{\frac{D_p}{\tau_p}}\frac{1}{N_D}\right)$	



pn Junction: I-V Characteristic	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	

$$I = qA\left(\frac{D_n}{L_n}\frac{n_i^2}{N_A} + \frac{D_p}{L_p}\frac{n_i^2}{N_D}\right)(e^{qV/kT} - 1) = I_0(e^{qV/kT} - 1)$$

asymmetrically doped junction

f 
$$p^+ - n$$
 diode ( $N_A \gg N_D$ ), then  $I_0 pprox qA rac{D_p}{L_p} rac{n_i^2}{N_D}$ 

f 
$$n^+ - p$$
 diode ( $N_D \gg N_A$ ), then  $I_0 \approx qA {D_n \over L_n} {n_i^2 \over N_A}$ 

That is, one has to consider only the lightly doped side of such junction in working out the diode I-V characteristics.





pn Junction: I-V Characteristic	1. I 2. 3. 4.	
	5.	

The minority carrier concentrations on either side of the junction under forward bias







Charge Control Model	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
$ p(x_n) = p_{n0}  e^{qV/kT} $	In general: $\Delta p_n(x,t)$	
$\Delta p_n(x') = \Delta p_n(x_n) e^{-x'/L_p}$ $Q_p$	$\frac{\partial \Delta p_n}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial J_p}{\partial x} - \frac{\Delta p_n}{\tau_p}$ $\frac{\partial (qA\Delta p_n)}{\partial t} = -A \frac{\partial J_p}{\partial t} - \frac{qA\Delta p_n}{\tau_p}$	<u>n</u>
$ \frac{p_{n0}}{x} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ qA \int_{x_n}^{\infty} J = J_n(0'') + J_p(0') \right] $	$\begin{bmatrix} \partial t & \partial x & \tau_p \\ D & \Delta p_n dx \end{bmatrix} = -A \int_{J(x_n)}^{J(\infty)} dJ_p - \frac{1}{\tau_p} \left[ qA \right]$	$\int_{x_n}^{\infty} \Delta p_n dx \bigg]$
$\frac{J_{ndrift}(x'')}{J_n(x'')} \qquad \qquad$	$\frac{d}{dt}Q_P = AJ_p(x_n) \cdot$	$-rac{Q_P}{ au_p}$
Steady state: $\frac{d}{dt} = 0$	$\frac{d}{dt}Q_P = I_p(x_n)$	$-rac{Q_P}{ au_p}$
$I_p(x_n) = \frac{Qp}{\tau_p}$ similarly $I_n(-x_p)$	$=\frac{q_P}{\tau_n}$	36




 $\begin{array}{ll} q \varphi_m & \text{work function} & \varphi_{Au} = 4.75 eV \ , \varphi_{Cu} = 4.5 eV \ , \varphi_{Al} = 4.28 eV \\ q \chi & \text{electron affinity} & \chi_{Si} = 4.05 eV \ , \chi_{Ge} = 4 eV \ , \varphi_{GaAs} = 4.07 eV \end{array}$ 



Reminder	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	





Plotting	Energy	Bands for	<b>MS</b> Junction
----------	--------	-----------	--------------------

**1.** I

2. 3.

4.

5.

Step by step:

- 1. Vacuum energy  $(E_0)$  is continuous.
- 2.  $E_G$  and  $\chi$  are intrinsic properties of materials and should remain constant. (which means  $E_C$ ,  $E_V$ , and  $E_0$  are all parallel)
- 3. At equilibrium  $E_F$  is constant while by applying voltage  $\Delta E_F = -qV$ .











MS junctions - Schottky Effect		1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
$\mathcal{E}(0) = -qN_DW/\epsilon$ $V_i = -\frac{1}{2}W\mathcal{E}(0) = qN_DW^2/2\epsilon$	$W = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{qN_D}(V_i - V_a)}$	$\mathcal{E}(0) = -\sqrt{\frac{2qI}{\epsilon}}$	$\frac{V_D}{V_i}(V_i - V_a)$

as  $qV_i = q(\varphi_m - \varphi_s)$  seems that  $V_i$  is independent of the applied voltage

But it is not! This is known as "Schottky Effect" This will lower  $V_i(\varphi_b)$  a little bit.











1. I	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

# End of Review!



	1. I	
Hetro-junction!	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	



We expect discontinuities in the energy bands



# **Plotting Energy Bands for HetroJunction**

1. I 2.

3. 4. 5.

1. Vacuum energy  $(E_0)$  is continuous.

2.  $E_G$  and  $\chi$  are intrinsic properties of materials and should remain constant.

(which means  $E_C$ ,  $E_V$ , and  $E_0$  are all parallel)

3. At equilibrium  $E_F$  is constant while by applying voltage  $\Delta E_F = -qV$ .

- 1. Align the Fermi level with 2 semiconductors separated (leave enough room for transition region).
- 2. Indicate  $\Delta E_C$ ,  $\Delta E_V$  at the metallurgical junction .
- 3. Connect conduction and valance band regions, keeping the band gap constant in each region.



Plotting!		1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
<i>E</i> <sub>C1</sub>	$\Delta E_C$		$E_{C2}$
$E_{F1}$	$\Delta E_V$		— <i>E<sub>V2</sub></i>



Plotting!		1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
<i>E</i> <sub>ct</sub>			
	$\Delta E_{C}$		E <sub>C2</sub>
$E_{V1}$	$\Delta E_V$	<u>E</u>	F2 E <sub>V2</sub>



Plotting!	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
<i>E</i> <sub>C1</sub>		
		$E_{C2}$ $E_{F2}$ $E_{V2}$





Interface structure	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
---------------------	------------------------------	--







Streetman's Example	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
---------------------	------------------------------	--

For heterojunctions in the GaAs-AlGaAs system, the direct ( $\Gamma$ ) band gap difference  $\Delta E_g^{\Gamma}$  is accommodated approximately  $\frac{2}{3}$  in the conduction band and  $\frac{1}{3}$  in the valence band. For an Al composition of 0.3, the AlGaAs is direct (see Fig. 3-6) with  $\Delta E_g^{\Gamma} = 1.85$  eV. Sketch the band diagrams for two heterojunction cases: N<sup>+</sup>-Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As on n-type GaAs, and N<sup>+</sup>-Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As on p<sup>+</sup>-GaAs.<sup>18</sup>

$$\Delta E_G = 1.85 - 1.43 = 0.42e^{-1}$$

$$\Delta E_C = 0.28 eV$$
$$\Delta E_V = 0.14 eV$$

**EXAMPLE 5-7** 









## Why it is important?

Junctions



Figure 5-46 A heterojunction between N<sup>+</sup>-AlGaAs and lightly doped GaAs, illustrating the potential well for electrons formed in the GaAs conduction band. If this well is sufficiently thin, discrete states (such as  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are formed, as discussed in Section 2.4.3.

**1.** I

2.

3.

4.

5.

#### carrier transport

MODFET: along the heterostructure HBT: perpendicular to the heterojunction



239



Carrier Flow Parallel to the Heterointerface	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
--	------------------------------	--







Carrier flow in a heterostructure from the narrow gap semiconductor towards the wider gap semiconductor. In this case, the carriers encounter a potential barrier at the interface that arises from the band edge discontinuity. The electrons can overcome the barrier and enter the wide gap material provided they have sufficient kinetic energy. (b) Carrier flow in a heterostructure from the wide gap semiconductor towards the narrow gap semiconductor. In this case, the carriers gain energy from crossing the potential step.



MODFET	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
--------	------------------------------	--

modulation doping provides a means of increasing the free carrier concentration without introducing donor atoms into the channel.

MODFETs, HEMTs (high electron mobility transistors)

80s, GaAs (channel) and AlGaAs (n-doped layer) are lattice matched

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ELECTRON DEVICES, VOL. 36, NO. 10, OCTOBER 1989

#### Recent Advances in Ultrahigh-Speed HEMT LSI Technology

MASAYUKI ABE, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE, TAKASHI MIMURA, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE, NAOKI KOBAYASHI, MEMBER, IEEE, MASAHISA SUZUKI, MAKOTO KOSUGI, MITSUO NAKAYAMA, KOUICHIRO ODANI, AND ISAMU HANYU





HEMT	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
------	------------------------------	--

IEEE ELECTRON DEVICE LETTERS, VOL. 9, NO. 9, SEPTEMBER 1988

# Ultra-High-Speed Digital Circuit Performance in 0.2-µm Gate-Length AlInAs/GaInAs HEMT Technology

UMESH K. MISHRA, MEMBER, IEEE, JOSEPH F. JENSEN, MEMBER, IEEE, APRIL S. BROWN, MEMBER, IEEE, M. A. THOMPSON, L. M. JELLOIAN, AND RANDALL S. BEAUBIEN, MEMBER, IEEE



HEMT		1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ELECTRON DEVICES, VOL. 45, NO. 9, SEPTEMBER 1998		

### AlSb/InAs HEMT's for Low-Voltage, High-Speed Applications

J. Brad Boos, Member, IEEE, Walter Kruppa, Member, IEEE, Brian R. Bennett, Doewon Park, Steven W. Kirchoefer, Member, IEEE, Robert Bass, and Harry B. Dietrich, Member, IEEE

TABLE I FET CHANNEL MATERIAL PROPERTIES				
	InAs	In <sub>0.53</sub> Ga <sub>0.47</sub> As	GaAs	InP
Electron Effective Mass (m <sup>*</sup> <sub>r</sub> / m <sub>0</sub> )	0.023	0.041	0.067	0.077
Electron Mobility (cm <sup>2</sup> /V-sec @ 300K, N <sub>p</sub> =10 <sup>17</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> )	16000	7800	4600	2800
Γ- L Valley Separation (eV)	0.9	0.55	0.31	0.53
Electron Peak Velocity (10 <sup>7</sup> cm/sec)	4.0	2.7	2.2	2.5
Energy Bandgap (eV @ 300K)	0.36	0.72	1.42	1.35

InAs 15 Å	
In <sub>0.4</sub> Al <sub>0.6</sub> As 40 Å	As soak
AlSb 125 Å	
InAs 100 Å	
AISb 500 Å	
GaSb 200 Å p= 6 x 10 <sup>17</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>	
AISb 2.4 µm	
SI GaAs substrate	

Fig. 1. HEMT starting material.



	1. I	
Performance Metric	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	

$$f_T = \frac{g_m}{2\pi C_{GS}} = \frac{1}{2\pi \tau_r}$$

 $\tau_r$  transit time of electrons in the device depends on the length of the channel

$$\mu = \frac{q\tau}{m_e^*}$$

Long Channel: 
$$\tau_r = \frac{L^2}{\mu V_{DS}}$$
  
Short Channel:  $\tau_r = \frac{L}{v_{sat}}$   
 $L = 1um$  (1450)  
 $\mu_{Si} = 300 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{Vs}} \rightarrow f_T = 4GHz$   
 $\mu_{2D} = 4000 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{Vs}} \rightarrow f_T = 62GHz$   
(8500)

tradeoff between  $f_T$  and Power dissipation



# InGaAs Channel (PHEMT)





channel layer is formed with  $In_{0.15}Ga_{0.85}As$  and the doped layer is GaAs. (Rosenberg *et al.* 1985)

The InGaAs layer is pseudomorphic. critical thickness of about 20.0 nm

Though the GaAs and InGaAs are not lattice matched, if the InGaAs layer is grown sufficiently thin it will adopt the lattice constant of the underlying GaAs layer.

$$\mu_{Si} = 1450 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{Vs}_2}$$
$$\mu_{GaAs} = 8500 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{Vs}_2}$$
$$\mu_{InAs} = 33000 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{Vs}}$$

$$E_{G_{GaAs}} = 1.42 \text{eV}$$

$$E_{G_{IRAS}} = 0.35 \text{eV}$$



HEMT	1.   2. 3. 4. 5.	
------	------------------------------	--



(Brown et al., 1989).
deep well!
$\mu_{2D} = 12000 \frac{\mathrm{cm}^2}{\mathrm{Vs}}$
$v_{sat} = 3 \times 10^7 cm/s$
$f_T = 170 \; GHz$



	1. I	
	2.	
HBT	3.	
	4.	
	5.	







## **Built in Voltage**





·•	

 $V_{bi} = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  $=\frac{\Delta E_C}{q} + \frac{\left(E_{C1} - E_f\right) - \left(E_{C2} - E_F\right)}{q}$  $n = N_C \ e^{-(E_C - E_F)/kT}$ 

$$p = N_V e^{-(E_F - E_V)/kT}$$

effective density of states

$$n = n_i e^{(E_F - E_i)/kT}$$
$$p = n_i e^{(E_i - E_F)/kT}$$

$$V_{bi} = \frac{\Delta E_C}{q} + \frac{kT}{q} \ln\left(\frac{n_{10}N_{C2}}{n_{20}N_{C1}}\right)$$


Modulation Doping	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
-------------------	------------------------------	--

Modulation doping : free carrier concentration (within semiconductor layer) can be increased significantly without the introduction of dopant impurities.

 $N_D \nearrow \Longrightarrow$  ionized impurity scattering  $\nearrow \Longrightarrow$  carrier mobility  $\searrow$ 



Typically, an undoped AlGaAs spacer layer is formed between the doped AlGaAs and undoped GaAs layers to increase the spatial separation of the electrons from the ionized donors, further reducing the ionized impurity scattering.



	1. I	
Free Electron	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0}\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + V(r)\psi = E\psi \qquad \text{time-independent Schrodinger equation}$$
  
Free electron  $V(x) = 0$ , with energy  $E \qquad \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = -\frac{2m_0E}{\hbar^2}\psi = -k^2\psi$   
 $\psi = A_+e^{-ikx} + A_-e^{+ikx} \qquad k = \frac{\sqrt{2m_0E}}{\hbar} \quad E = \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m_0}$   
 $\Psi(x,t) = A_+e^{-i(kx-\omega t)} + A_-e^{+i(kx-\omega t)}$ 



$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_0} \rightarrow m^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{d^2 E/dk^2}$$





1-D Quantum Well (Box)	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
Consider a particle with mass m under potential as: Outside the box: $V = \infty \rightarrow \psi = 0$ Inside the box: $\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}\psi = 0$ $\sin kx$ , $\cos kx$ as $k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$	$V = 0$ $\psi_1$ $\psi_3$	$V = \infty$
continuity of $\psi$ at 0 and L:	v is the quantur	2 n number
$\psi(0) = \psi(L) = 0 \rightarrow \psi = A \sin kx  ;  k = \frac{n\pi}{L}  , \overset{\psi}{n} = \frac{1}{2}  , \overset{\psi}{n} = $	= 1,2,3, …	
normalization: $\frac{n\pi}{L} = \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar} \to E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2}$ $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi^* \psi dx = \int_0^L A^2 \left(\sin\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)^2 dx = 1 \to$	$A = \sqrt{2/L}$ $\int_{2}^{2} \sin(n\pi)$	
$\psi_n = 1$	$\sqrt{\frac{L}{L}} \operatorname{SIII}(\frac{L}{L}x)$	75

1-D Quantum Well (Box)	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
	$V = \infty \qquad V = 0 \qquad \checkmark V = 0$	$\infty$
$E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2}$ $\psi_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin(\frac{n\pi}{L}x)$	$\wedge \psi_3 \wedge$	
$L \nearrow \infty \rightarrow$ free electron $L \sim 0.5  nm \text{ (atom)}$	$E_2 = 9E_1$ $\psi_2$ $E_2 = 4E_1$	
$E_1 = 1.5 \ eV$ $E_2 - E_1 = 4.5 \ eV$	$E_{1} \qquad \psi_{1} \qquad \pi^{2}\hbar^{2}/2$ $0 \qquad L$	<sup>′2</sup> mL <sup>2</sup> <b>76</b> ₩

## **1-D Finite Well**

 $d^2 y$ 

 $dx^2$ 

k =

We first need to find the values of the energy for which there are solutions to the Schrödinger equation, then deduce the corresponding wavefunctions.

Boundary conditions are given by continuity of the wavefunction and its first derivative.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Region }\overline{II} \\ = -\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}\psi = -k^2\psi \\ \sqrt{2mE} \end{array} \begin{array}{c|c} d^2\psi \\ \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = \frac{2m(U-E)}{\hbar^2}\psi = \alpha^2\psi \\ \Rightarrow \psi = Ae^{\alpha x} + Be^{-\alpha x} \\ \Rightarrow \psi = Ae^{\alpha x} + Be^{-\alpha x} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x < 0 \text{ and } x > B \end{array}$$

Finite  $\psi$ :

 $\psi_{II} = F \sin kx + G \cos kx$ 

ħ

77

E)

$$V = U$$

$$I$$

$$V = 0$$

$$V = U$$

$$II$$

$$III$$

 $\begin{cases} \psi_I = A e^{\alpha x} &, x < 0 \\ \psi_{III} = B e^{-\alpha x} &, x < L \end{cases}$ 

Finite vs. Infinite Well	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	





## Bulk Semiconductor Potential , $\phi_{\text{F}}$





$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k_x^2}{2m_0} + \frac{\hbar^2 k_y^2}{2m_0} + E_i$$

self-consistent solution of the Schrodinger and Poisson equations

Infinite triangular potential well

$$E_{i} = \left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi q\mathcal{E}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(i + \frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{E}}$  : electric field strength corresponding to the slope of the energy band



<b>Electron Concentration</b>	1. I       2.       3.       4.       5.
n <sup>+</sup> – AlGaAs	<i>i – GaAs</i> 3 – – – – – – – – 1.43
1.85	4 $D_{1D}(E) \propto E^{-1/2}$ $D_{2D}(E) \propto cte = m^*/\pi\hbar^2$
$E_F = \Delta E_C - E_d - qV_{dep}$ $V_{dep} = -\int_{0}^{-W} \mathcal{E}dz = \frac{qN_DW^2}{2\epsilon_0\epsilon_{AlGaAs}}$	$D_{3D}(E) \propto E^{1/2}$ $N_{S} = \int_{E_{1}}^{E_{1}+E_{f}} f(E)D(E)dE$ $- \pi\hbar^{2}N_{S}$
$E_1 + \frac{\pi \hbar^2 N_S}{m^*} = \Delta E_C - E_d - qV_{dep}$	$E_F = \frac{S}{m^*}$ $E_F = E_1 + \frac{\pi \hbar^2 N_S}{m^*}$



### **Electron Concentration - Example**

I	

#### **EXAMPLE 2.2.1:** Determination of the Total Carrier Density in a Two-Dimensional System

Consider a two-dimensional system formed in the GaAs–AlGaAs materials system. With the assumption that the energy levels can be determined from the infinite triangular well approximation, determine the total carrier density in the system at T = 0 K if only one subband is occupied. Assume the following information:  $m^* = 0.067m$ ;  $\varepsilon_{AlCaAs} = 13.18 - 3.12x$  (where x is the Al concentration); the donor concentration within the AlGaAs layer,  $N_D$ , is  $3.0 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>; and the effective field F in the triangular well is  $1.5 \times 10^5$  V/cm. The conduction band edge discontinuity in the GaAs–AlGaAs system is usually estimated as 62% of the difference in the energy band gaps. Assume that the Al concentration within the AlGaAs is 40%. The donor energy in AlGaAs is assumed to be 6 meV. The width of the depletion region in the AlGaAs is given as 18.2 nm.

We start with Eq. 2.2.9,

$$E_1 + \frac{N_s \pi^{I2}}{m^*} = \Delta E_c - V_{dep} - E_c$$

The first subband energy,  $E_1$ , can be calculated using the infinite triangular well approximation with the field F of  $3.0 \times 10^5$  V/cm:

$$E_{i} = \left(\frac{I^{2}}{2m}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{3}{2}\pi qF\right)^{2/3} \left(i + \frac{3}{4}\right)^{2/3}$$

Substituting in for *i*, 1, and  $E_1$  is equal to 0.205 eV. The bandgap discontinuity  $\Delta E_{\sigma}$  is found using Eq. 2.1.2 as

$$\Delta E_{g} = 1.247x = (1.247)(0.40) = 0.50$$

The conduction band edge discontinuity is then

$$\Delta E_c = (0.62)(0.5) = 0.31 \text{ eV}$$

 $V_{\rm dep}$  can be calculated from

$$V_{\rm dep} = \frac{q N_D W^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\rm AlGaAs}}$$

Substituting in the relevant values,  $V_{dep}$  is computed to be 0.075 V.  $N_s$ , the two-dimensional electron concentration, can now be determined as

$$\frac{N_s \pi^{-12}}{m^*} = \Delta E_c - V_{dep} - E_d - E_1 = 0.31 - 0.075 - 0.006 - 0.205 = 0.024 \text{ eV}$$

Solving for  $N_{\rm c}$  yields

$$N_s = \frac{m^*}{\pi^{12}}(0.024) = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$$





Carrier flow in a heterostructure from the narrow gap semiconductor towards the wider gap semiconductor. In this case, the carriers encounter a potential barrier at the interface that arises from the band edge discontinuity. The electrons can overcome the barrier and enter the wide gap material provided they have sufficient kinetic energy. (b) Carrier flow in a heterostructure from the wide gap semiconductor towards the narrow gap semiconductor. In this case, the carriers gain energy from crossing the potential step.



Potential Well	1. I       2.       3.       4.       5.
Region $\boxed{I}$ $\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi_I}{dx^2} = E \psi_I$ $\frac{d^2 \psi_I}{dx^2} + k^2 \psi_I = 0$ where $k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$ Region $\boxed{II}$ $-\hbar^2 d^2 \psi_{II}$ where $\pi = 1$	$ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow V(x) \\ & V = V_0 \\ \leftarrow \checkmark \checkmark \end{array} $
$\frac{d^2 \psi_{II}}{dx^2} - \alpha^2 \psi_{II} = 0  \text{where}  \alpha = \sqrt{2m(E - V_0)}/\hbar$ $\psi_I = Ae^{ikx} + Be^{-ikx}  (x < 0)$	$\boxed{I}  0  \boxed{II}  x$ $\frac{D}{A} = 2 \frac{E - i\sqrt{(V_0 - E)E}}{V_0}$ $B  2E - V_0 - 2i\sqrt{(V_0 - E)E}$
$\psi_{II} = Ce^{ax} + De^{-ax} \qquad (x > 0)$ B.C. $\rightarrow A, B, D$ $\Psi_I(x, t) = Ae^{i(kx - Et/\hbar)} + Be^{i(kx + Et/\hbar)}$	$\overline{A} = \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0}$ $E = 1 eV, V_0 = 2 eV$ $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} = 0.2nm$ penetration depth
$\Psi_{II}(x,t) = De^{-\alpha x - iEt/\hbar}$	<u> </u>



Potential Well	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
----------------	------------------------------	--







For simplicity, let us assume that the electron is confined completely to the x-z plane

$$\frac{\hbar^2(k_{1x}^2 + k_{2z}^2)}{2m_2} = \frac{\hbar^2(k_{1x}^2 + k_{1z}^2)}{2m_1} + \Delta E_C$$

FIGURE 2.5.2 Heterostructure system for the above-the-barrier injection condition.

Z

Solving for  $k_{2z}$ 

$$T = \frac{4(k_{2z}/k_{1z})(m_1/m_2)}{[1 + (k_{2z}/k_{1z})(m_1/m_2)]^2}$$
$$R = \frac{[1 - (k_{2z}/k_{1z})(m_1/m_2)]^2}{[1 + (k_{2z}/k_{1z})(m_1/m_2)]^2}$$



# Tunneling

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + U\psi = E\psi$$

$$\begin{cases} \psi_I = e^{ikx} + re^{-ikx} & (x < 0) \\ \psi_{II} = Ae^{\alpha x} + Be^{-\alpha x} & (0 < x < L) \\ \psi_{III} = te^{ikx} & (x > L) \end{cases}$$

$$k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$$
  $\alpha = \sqrt{2m(U-E)}/\hbar$ 

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{I} = \psi_{II} @ x = 0\\ \frac{d\psi_{I}}{dx} = \frac{d\psi_{II}}{dx} @ x = 0\\ \psi_{II} = \psi_{III} @ x = L\\ \frac{d\psi_{II}}{dx} = \frac{d\psi_{III}}{dx} @ x = L \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A\\ B\\ t \to T = |t|^{2}\\ r \to R = |r|^{2} \end{cases}$$



1. I

2.

• Transmission coefficient (T): The probability that the particle penetrates the barrier.

• Reflection coefficient (R): The probability that the particle is reflected by the barrier.

• T + R = 1











Bulk Semiconductor Potential , $\phi_{\text{F}}$	1.   2. 3. 4.	
	5.	



Bulk Semiconductor Potential , $\phi_{\text{F}}$	1.   2. 3. 4.	
	5.	



Bulk Semiconductor Potential , $\phi_{\text{F}}$	1. I 2. 3. 4. 5.	
--	------------------------------	--

